

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,979		10/18/2004	David A. Scheidmantel	70639-0028	5978
20915	7590	10/23/2006		EXAMINER	
MCGARR'	Y BAIR I	PC	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
171 MONRO SUITE 600	DE AVEN	UE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GRAND RA	PIDS, M	I 49503	1772		
				DATE MAILED: 10/23/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,979	SCHEIDMANTEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19,21-27 and 37 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19,21-27 and 37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t	lrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. ccepted or b) □ objected to b		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Unice Action or form P1U-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light series.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/711,979

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 21-27 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "a pair of ridges ...along an interior portion" is new matter. There is no original disclosure directed to ridges on an interior surface of the cover.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 21, 23 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walter. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. In the reference, Figure 5 clearly shows a pair of parallel ridges with an indentation formed between the ridges. These two features, namely the ridges and indentation are the only claimed structural features of the simulated seam. Therefore the structure shown in Figure 5 meets the instant claim limitations. Applicant argues that the structure shown in Walter is not a seam or butt seam. This is not persuasive of

Application/Control Number: 10/711,979 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

patentability since claim 37 is not directed to a "butt seam", it is directed to a structure that simulates a butt seam. And since, as noted above, the reference's product has a pair of ridges in cooperation with an indentation between the ridges, the instant claims fail to distinguish over the reference's product.

4. Claims 1-3, 14, 21, 26 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicants argue that the cover of Young et al is not an uninterrupted cover as instantly claimed because the wedge and cover are distinct and the seam is not formed in the cover. However, the wedge 106 by itself may be considered a "trim component" as well as an "uninterrupted cover" since it covers a portion of the steering wheel. Concerning the discussion of claim 37, the term "interior" is a relative term depending on how the piece is to be used and does not provide any structurally distinguishing feature to the claimed article.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-19, 21-27 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' acknowledged state of the art in view of Wright et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Art Unit: 1772

Applicants argue that there is no motivation, teaching or suggestion of the desirability of combining the prior art. As previously pointed out, the motivation to combine the references is set forth in Wright et al in the Abstract and [0024] wherein it is stated that in order to enhance the aesthetic look of the decorative cover, topstitching 5 is applied to the side of the seam. The reference further states that topstitching 5 is applied to both sides of the seam (see [0024]), and the resulting cover gives the appearance of being "hand crafted"; see [0027]. Thus there is clear motivation to combine the references.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alefandis S. Maria